

Sh. Avtar Singh, S/o Sh. Basant Singh Village Badduwal, Tehsil Dharamkot District Moga

.....Complainant

Vs

# Public Information Officer,

O/o The Block Development and Panchayat Officer, Dharamkot at Kot Ise Khan, Moga

.....Respondent

# C<u>C.No. 1027 of 2021</u>

Present : (I) Sh. Avtar Singh the complainant through CISCO Webex (ii) None is present on behalf of the respondent

#### ORDER

1. The RTI application is dated NIL whereby the information-seeker has sought information as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 05.08.2021 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice was issued to the parties for hearing for 01.12.2021 in the Commission.

3. After going through the file, it is observed that this is the complaint case. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act , 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-

(31. We uphold the said contention and do not find any error in the impugned judgment of the High Court whereby it has been held that the Commissioner while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the said Act has no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for access to the information).



## CC.No. 1027 of 2021

As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

4. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order.

5. The instant matter is now remanded back to the First Appellate Authority. The commission hereby directs the FAA to treat the copy of the complaint (copy enclosed) as the first appeal and decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act after giving all concerned parties an opportunity to be heard. He is directed to give an early date to hear the complainant and decide the matter. In case the complainant is not satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority (FAA), he is at liberty to file second appeal with the Punjab State Information Commission in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act 2005.

6. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority , he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

7. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is **disposed of.** Copies of this decision be sent to the parties *through registered post.* 

#### Dated : 01.12.2021

Sd/-(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon) State Information Commissioner Punjab

# <u>Registered post</u> First Appellate Authority o/o DDPO, Dharamkot at Ise Khan, Moga



Sh. Bhim Singh, (7717619723) S/o S. Rachhpal Singh Gandhi Park, Railway Road Near Radha Swami Dera, Tarn Taran

.....Complainant

Vs

## **Public Information Officer**,

O/o The Executive Officer Nagar Council, Tarn Taran

.....Respondent

# CC.No. 1028 of 2021

Present : (I) Sh . Bhim Singh in the Commission office (ii) For the Respondent: None is present on behalf of the respondent

#### ORDER

The RTI application is dated 08.03.2021 whereby the information-seeker has sought information as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 05.08.2021 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice was issued to the parties for hearing for 01.12.2021 in the Commission.

3. After going through the file, it is observed that this is the complaint case. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act , 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-

(31. We uphold the said contention and do not find any error in the impugned judgment of the High Court whereby it has been held that the Commissioner while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the said Act has no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for access to the information).



## CC.No. 1028 of 2021

As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

4. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order.

5. The instant matter is now remanded back to the First Appellate Authority. The commission hereby directs the FAA to treat the copy of the complaint (copy enclosed) as the first appeal and decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act after giving all concerned parties an opportunity to be heard. He is directed to give an early date to hear the complainant and decide the matter. In case the complainant is not satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority (FAA), he is at liberty to file second appeal with the Punjab State Information Commission in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act 2005.

6. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority , he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

7. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is **disposed of.** Copies of this decision be sent to the parties *through registered post.* 

#### Dated : 01.12.2021

Sd/-(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon) State Information Commissioner Punjab

Registered Post First Appellate Authority O/o ADC (Urban Development) Tarn Taran





Sh. Gurmeet Singh, S/o Sh. Ishar Singh, R/o Village Bhairopur PO Khera, Tehsil and Distt. Fatehgarh Sahib (9876549324)

.....Complainant

Vs

## **Public Information Officer,**

O/o BDPO, Block Khera, Tehsil and Distt. Fatehgarh Sahib

.....Respondent

# C<u>C.No. 1026 of 2021</u>

Present : (I) Sh. Gurmeet Singh the Complainant (in the Commission office) (ii) For the Respondent: Sh.Labh Singh, Panchayat Secretary-cum-APIO (9877098859) and Sh. Amandeep Singh, Panchayat Secretary (8360854970)

## ORDER

The RTI application is dated 15.042021 whereby the information-seeker has sought information as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 05.08.2021 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice was issued to the parties for hearing for 01.12.2021 in the Commission.

3. The complainant states that no information has been given to him so far.

4. The respondent states that he has personally brought information today in the Commission, which is handed over to the complainant.

5. The appellant has gone through the same and states that he is satisfied with the information but the information is not attested. The respondent has promised that the same will be attested in 2-3 days.

6. In view of the foregoing, no cause of action is left, hence the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon) State Information Commissioner Punjab

Dated : 01.12.2021



Sh. Gurmeet Singh, S/o Sh. Ishar Singh R/o Village Bhairopur, PO Khera, Tehsil and Distt. Fatehgarh Sahib (9876549324)

.....Complainant

Vs

## **Public Information Officer,**

O/o BDPO, Block Khera Tehsil and Distt. Fatehgarh Sahib

.....Respondent

## C<u>C.No. 1025 of 2021</u>

Present : (I) Sh. Gurmeet Singh the Complainant (in the Commission office) (ii) For the Respondent: Sh.Labh Singh, Panchayat Secretary-cum-APIO (9877098859) and Sh. Amandeep Singh, Panchayat Secretary (8360854970)

#### ORDER

The RTI application is dated 15.042021 whereby the information-seeker has sought information as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 05.08.2021 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). 2.Notice was issued to the parties for hearing for 01.12.2021 in the Commission.

3. The complainant states that no information has been given to him so far.

4. The respondent states that he has personally brought information today in the Commission, which is handed over to the complainant.

5. The appellant has gone through the same and states that he is satisfied with the information but the information is not attested. The respondent has promised that the same will be attested in 2-3 days.

6. In view of the foregoing, no cause of action is left, hence the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Dated : 01.12.2021

(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon) State Information Commissioner Punjab



Sh. Santokh Singh, S/o Sh. Piara Singh Village Patti Haveli Malsia Tehsil Shahkot, Distt. Jalandhar (9815419676)

.....Complainant

Vs

**Public Information Officer,** O/o EO, Shahkot, Distt. Jalandhar

.....Respondent

## C<u>C.No. 1024 of 2021</u>

Present : None for the parties.

#### ORDER

The RTI application is dated 31.05.2021 whereby the information-seeker has sought information as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 05.08.2021 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice was issued to the parties for hearing for 01.12.2021 in the Commission.

3. After going through the file, it is observed that this is the complaint case. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act , 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-

(31. We uphold the said contention and do not find any error in the impugned judgment of the High Court whereby it has been held that the Commissioner while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the said Act has no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for access to the information).



#### CC.No. 1024 of 2021

As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

4. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order.

5. The instant matter is now remanded back to the First Appellate Authority. The commission hereby directs the FAA to treat the copy of the complaint (copy enclosed) as the first appeal and decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act after giving all concerned parties an opportunity to be heard. He is directed to give an early date to hear the complainant and decide the matter. In case the complainant is not satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority (FAA), he is at liberty to file second appeal with the Punjab State Information Commission in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act 2005.

6. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority , he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

7. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is **disposed of.** Copies of this decision be sent to the parties *through registered post.* 

Dated : 01.12.2021

Sd/-(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon) State Information Commissioner Punjab

<u>Registered post</u> First Appellate Authority ADC (Urban Development), Shahkot Distt. Jalandhar



Sh. Rajinder Singh, S/o Sh. Babu Singh Village Kheri Barna, PO Karhali, Distt. Patiala (9815958947)

.....Complainant

Vs

# **Public Information Officer,**

0/o BDPO, Patiala

.....Respondent

## C<u>C.No. 1021 of 2021</u>

Present : (I) Sh. Rajinder Singh the complainant (in the Commission office) (ii) None is present on behalf of the respondent

## ORDER

The RTI application is dated 21.06.2021 whereby the information-seeker has sought information as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 04.08.2021 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice was issued to the parties for hearing for 01.12.2021 in the Commission.

3. After going through the file, it is observed that this is the complaint case. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act , 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-

(31. We uphold the said contention and do not find any error in the impugned judgment of the High Court whereby it has been held that the Commissioner while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the said Act has no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for access to the information).



## CC.No. 1021 of 2021

As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

4. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order.

5. The instant matter is now remanded back to the First Appellate Authority. The commission hereby directs the FAA to treat the copy of the complaint (copy enclosed) as the first appeal and decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act after giving all concerned parties an opportunity to be heard. He is directed to give an early date to hear the complainant and decide the matter. In case the complainant is not satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority (FAA), he is at liberty to file second appeal with the Punjab State Information Commission in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act 2005.

6. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority , he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

7. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is **disposed of.** Copies of this decision be sent to the parties *through registered post.* 

#### Dated : 01.12.2021

Sd/-(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon) State Information Commissioner Punjab

<u>Registered post</u> First Appellate Authority o/o DDPO, Patiala



Sh. Charan Dass, S/o Sh. Khushi Ram R/o Village Khariaal, PO Madhopur District Pathankot – 145024 (9530705705)

.....Complainant

Vs

**Public Information Officer,** O/o BDPO, Block Sujanpur Distt. Pathankot

.....Respondent

#### CC.No. 1017 of 2021

Present : None for the parties.

#### ORDER

The RTI application is dated 15.09.2021 whereby the information-seeker has sought information as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 03.08.2021 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice was issued to the parties for hearing for 01.12.2021 in the Commission.

3. After going through the file, it is observed that this is the complaint case. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act , 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-

(31. We uphold the said contention and do not find any error in the impugned judgment of the High Court whereby it has been held that the Commissioner while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the said Act has no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for access to the information).



## CC.No. 1017 of 2021

As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

4. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order.

5. The instant matter is now remanded back to the First Appellate Authority. The commission hereby directs the FAA to treat the copy of the complaint (copy enclosed) as the first appeal and decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act after giving all concerned parties an opportunity to be heard. He is directed to give an early date to hear the complainant and decide the matter. In case the complainant is not satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority (FAA), he is at liberty to file second appeal with the Punjab State Information Commission in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act 2005.

6. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority , he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

7. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is **disposed of.** Copies of this decision be sent to the parties *through registered post.* 

Dated : 01.12.2021

Sd/-(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon) State Information Commissioner Punjab

<u>Registered post</u> First Appellate Authority O/o DDPO, Pathankot



Sh. Surjit Singh, S/o Sh. Dia Singh Village Raulwal, PO Kadarwala Distt. Moga

.....Complainant

Vs

# Public Information Officer,

O/o BDPO, Kot Ise Kha Moga

.....Respondent

## C<u>C.No. 1014 of 2021</u>

Present : None for the parties.

#### ORDER

The RTI application is dated 12.05.2021 whereby the information-seeker has sought information as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 02.08.2021 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice was issued to the parties for hearing for 01.12.2021 in the Commission.

3. After going through the file, it is observed that this is the complaint case. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act , 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-

(31. We uphold the said contention and do not find any error in the impugned judgment of the High Court whereby it has been held that the Commissioner while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the said Act has no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for access to the information).



## CC.No. 1014 of 2021

As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

4. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order.

5. The instant matter is now remanded back to the First Appellate Authority. The commission hereby directs the FAA to treat the copy of the complaint (copy enclosed) as the first appeal and decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act after giving all concerned parties an opportunity to be heard. He is directed to give an early date to hear the complainant and decide the matter. In case the complainant is not satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority (FAA), he is at liberty to file second appeal with the Punjab State Information Commission in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act 2005.

6. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority , he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

7. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is **disposed of.** Copies of this decision be sent to the parties *through registered post.* 

Dated : 01.12.2021

Sd/-(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon) State Information Commissioner Punjab

**<u>Registered post</u>** First Appellate Authority o/o DDPO, Moga



Sh. Jasbir Singh, Guru Nanak Nagar Village Bolapur, Jhabewal, Post Office Ramgarh District Ludhiana – 141123 (9888-296107)

.....Complainant

Vs

## **Public Information Officer,**

O/o DEO(SE), Ludhiana

.....Respondent

# CC.No. 1012 of 2021

Present : (I) Sh . Jasbir Singh the complainant (through Webex) (ii) For the Respondent: Sh. Balwinder Singh, Principal , o/o Govt. Sr. Sec, School , Dehlon (81467-33334)

## ORDER

The RTI application is dated 24.06.2021 whereby the information-seeker has sought information as mentioned in his RTI application. He filed complaint in the Commission on 02.08.2021 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice was issued to the parties for hearing for 01.12.2021 i.e. today.

3. The complainant states that no information has been given to him so far.

4. The respondent states that the information, as exists, in the record has been sent to the complainant.

5. After going through the file, it is observed that this is the complaint case. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act , 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-



#### CC.No. 1012 of 2021

(31. We uphold the said contention and do not find any error in the impugned judgment of the High Court whereby it has been held that the Commissioner while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the said Act has no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for access to the information).

As such, since the complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

6. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order.

7. The instant matter is now remanded back to the First Appellate Authority. The commission hereby directs the FAA to treat the copy of the complaint (copy enclosed) as the first appeal and decide the matter in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act after giving all concerned parties an opportunity to be heard. He is directed to give an early date to hear the complainant and decide the matter. In case the complainant is not satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority (FAA), he is at liberty to file second appeal with the Punjab State Information Commission in accordance with the provisions of the RTI Act 2005.

8. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority , he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

9. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is **disposed of.** Copies of this decision be sent to the parties *through registered post.* 

Dated : 01.12.2021

Sd/-(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon) State Information Commissioner Punjab

#### <u>Registered post</u>

First Appellate Authority, o/o DPI(SE), Punjab, PSEB Complex 9 Phase- 08, Sahibzada Ajit Singh Nagar, Punjab - 160062

Punjab Stelle Information

Sh.Parminder Singh, S/o Late Sh. Harbans Singh, R/o E-67, First Floor, Vishnu Garden, New Delhi (9667705875)

.....Appellant

.....Respondent

..Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana

**First Appellate Authority** O/o Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana

## AC No. 3555 of 2021

Present: (i) None is present on behalf of the appellant (ii) For the Respondent: Sh. Nirmal Kumar, HC (9417849623)

#### ORDER

The RTI application is dated 27.08.2020 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on 19.12.2020.2021 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 05.08.2021 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 01.12.2021 i.e. today.

3. The appellant is absent today.

4. The respondent states that the appellant has demanded information on same ground in AC: 3067 of 2021, which is fixed for 24.01.2022.

5. After going through both the files i.e. AC: 3067 of 2021 and AC: 3555 of 2021, it is observed that the appellant has demanded same information in both cases. Hence, the above said case i.e. AC: 3555 of 2021 is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon) State Information Commissioner Punjab

Dated : 01.12.2021



Sh. Harbhajan Singh, S/o Sh. Makhan Singh R/o Village Amir Khas, Tehsil Jalalabad Distt. Fazilka (9914343099)

.....Appellant

..Vs

**Public Information Officer,** O/o BDPO, Gurharsahai. Distt. Ferozepur

**First Appellate Authority**, O/o DDPO, Ferozepur

.....Respondent

#### AC No. 3546 of 2021

Present : (I) Sh Harbhajan Singh, Appellant in the Commission office (ii) for the Respondent: Sh Lakhwinder Singh, APIO (99146-18332)

#### <u>ORDER</u>

1. The RTI application is dated 30.03.2021 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) on and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 04.08.2021 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 01.12.2021 through CISCO-Webex (Video Conferencing Application).

3. The Appellant states that the information has not been provided to him so far.

4. The Respondent states that the information demanded by the Appellant cannot be provided to him as the same is third-party information.

5. The perusal of the file ascertains that the information demanded by the Appellant pertaining to point no.2 is third-party information. Hence, the same cannot be provided. Further, the Respondent is directed to provide information pertaining to point no.1 to the Appellant.



## AC No. 3546 of 2021

6. Today, the Respondent assures the Commission that the information will be sent to the Appellant within two days. The Appellant has given his satisfaction over the same. Hence, on the assurance of the Respondent to provide the information on point no. 1, the Appeal case filed by the Appellant is **disposed off and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Dated : 01.12.2021

Sd/-(Amrit Partap Singh Sekhon) State Information Commissioner Punjab